## Bachelor of Arts Assessment Map Fall 2019 (Term 201)

Student Learning Objective 1: <u>Biblical</u>	Interpretation: The graduate with	ill interpret and communicate	the Bible accurately
		······································	

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Improvement	Current Benchmark/New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
			Direct Meas	sures		
CECM 2350 (lesson plan and exegesis associated with LCPR*) OR PMCM 2300 (sermon brief and exegesis assessed with LCPR)	<ul> <li>N/A as implemented a new rubric.</li> <li>Will define Baseline during next BA jury as require additional data (i.e., more than one term).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CECM 2350 Written Project Rubric Composite: 3.22 (Writing, 3.0; Critical Thinking, 3.09; Biblical Interp., 3.58) (Term 183) / Rubric Composite, 2.52 (Writing, 2.4; Critical Thinking, 2.45; Biblical Interp., 2.72) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	CECM 2350     Written Project     Rubric     Composite: 2.6     (Writing, 2.6;     Critical     Thinking, 2.78;     Bib. Interp.,     2.43)	• -0.62 (Term 183) +0.08 (Term 191)	<ul> <li>Written Project: 86% of sampled students will score at least 2.25 or higher on the 5-point LCPR/New Lesson Plan &amp; Sermon Brief Assessment Rubric implemented. Will set new benchmark next BA jury.</li> </ul>	• As revised the "old" LCPR to reflect more robust rubrics for assessing Bib Interp, Theological/Historical Interp, and Service and Leadership, we likely will have to adjust baselines and benchmarks.
		<ul> <li>PMCM 2300 Rubric Composite, 3.29 (Writing, 3.20; Critical Thinking, 2.96; Biblical Interp., 3.72) (Term 183) / Rubric Composite, 3.38 (Writing, 3.2; Critical Thinking, 3.25; Biblical Interp., 3.68) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	PMCM 2300 Rubric Composite, 3.3 (Writing, 3.3; Critical Thinking, 3.08; Bib. Interp., 3.5) <u>Note</u> : 93% of sampled students scored at least 2.25	<ul> <li>+0.01 (Term 183)</li> <li>-0.08 (Term 191)</li> </ul>		

	• Oral Presentation: 70% of sampled students will score an average of 4.25 or higher on the 5-point LCOPR	<ul> <li>CECM 2350: Composite score of 4.625 (Term 183) / 4.685 (Term 191)</li> <li>PMCM 2300: Composite score of 4.37 (Term 183) / 4.67 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	CECM 2350: Composite score of 4.27 <u>Note</u> : 88% of sampled students scored 4.25 or higher	-0.355 (Term 183) -0.415 (Term 191) +/- 0.0 (Term 183) -0.30 (Term 191)		• Lead professor work with the extension centers and adjuncts to educate them on the use of the revised LCOPR.
• LSCM 4300 (senior thesis assessed with LCPR)	• 67% of sampled students scored an average of 2.5 or higher on the 5-point LCPR	• LSCM 4300 Written Project: Rubric Composite, 3.72 (Writing, 3.45; Critical Thinking, 3.81; Biblical Interp., 3.91) (Term 183) / Rubric Composite, 2.93 (Writing, 2.92; Critical Thinking, 3.01; Biblical Interp., 2.86) (Term 191)	•LSCM 4300 Written Project Rubric Composite, 2.64 (Writing, 3.4; Critical Thinking, 3.67; Bib. Interp., 3.83) (Term 201) <u>Note</u> : 83% of sampled students scored at least 2.5	<ul> <li>-1.08 (Term 183)</li> <li>-0.29 (Term 191)</li> <li>[Only had 2 sections for Term 201]</li> </ul>	• 67% of sampled students scored an average of 2.5 or higher on the 5-point LCPR	• New rubric to be developed to articulate more clearly the objectives.
	• Oral Defense: 70% of sampled students will score at least 4.50 on the 6- point LCOPR	• LSCM 4300 Oral Defense: Composite Score of 4.65 (Term 183) / 4.47 (Term 191)	•LSCM 4300 Oral Defense: Composite Score of 4.6 <u>Note</u> : 100% of sampled students scored at least 4.5	<ul> <li>-0.05 (Term 183)</li> <li>+0.13 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Oral Defense: 70% of sampled students will score at least 4.50 on the 6- point LCOPR / 70% of sampled students will score at least 4.50 on the 6- point LCOPR</li> </ul>	

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark			
	Indirect Measures								
• Faculty generated Local Test Questions given with the ETS Profile Proficiency Exam	• Average score of sampled students was 81% on local test questions	<ul> <li>82.54% / Non-prison students, 85.76% (Angola, 78%; Parchman, 83.85%) (Term 183) / 81.11% (Non- prison students, 88.46% (Angola, 77.14%, Hardee, 77.73%) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>85.18% / Non- prison students: 87.25% (NOLA Traditional, 86.25%: Online, 80%; Southwest LA, 90%; Duluth, 90%; North GA, 90%; Angola, 60%; LCIW, 100%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>+2.64% for composite (non- prison and prison students) / +1.49% for non-prison students (Term 183)</li> <li>+ 4.07% for composite (non- prison and prison students)/-1.21 for non-prison students Term 191)</li> </ul>	students: 81% on local test questions / Average score of sampled students: 83% on local test questions	• Ensure the continued validity of the BA program-specific questions in light of our new majors.			
BACM Student Satisfaction Survey	• Average score of 4.6 on 5- point scale	• 3.51 (Term 191)	<ul> <li>Composite Score: 3.53 (NOLA Trad., 3.69; Online, 3; Southwest LA, 2.25; North Georgia, 4; Duluth, 3.5; Angola, 4; LCIW, 4)</li> </ul>	• +0.02	• Average score of 4.6 on a 5- point scale / Average score of 3.6 on a 5- point scale	• Review the program-specific questions in light of our new majors.			

Student Learning Objective 2: <u>Service and Leadership</u>: The graduate will demonstrate the ability to serve, lead, and equip churches through pastoral, worship, and educational ministries.

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
			Direct Meas	sures		
CECM 2350 (lesson plan assessed with new rubric) OR PMCM 2300 (sermon brief assessed with new rubric)	<ul> <li>N/A as implemented a new rubric.</li> <li>Will define Baseline during next BA jury as require additional data (i.e., more than one term).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CECM 2350: Composite score, 4.625 (Term 183) / Composite score, 4.685 (Term 191)</li> <li>PMCM 2300: Composite score, 4.37 (Term 183) / Composite Score, 4.67 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CECM 2350 – New 4-point LCOPR Composite, 3.1 (Bib/Doc Integrity 3.55) (Term 201)</li> <li>PMCM 2300 New 4-point LCOPR Composite, 3.49 (Bib/Doc Integrity, 3.5) (Term 201)</li> <li><u>Note</u>: Written lesson – 3.2 average (lesson plans and sermon brief)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Cannot measure as implemented a new LCOPR</li> <li>Cannot measure as implemented a new LCOPR</li> </ul>	sampled students will score an average of 4.25 or higher on the 5-point LCOPR/New rubric	Continue using new rubric, and set baseline and benchmark after more data is collected.

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
			Indirect Mee	isures		
• Faculty generated Local Test Questions given with the ETS Profile Proficiency Exam	• Average score of sampled students was 67% on local test questions	<ul> <li>65.18% /Non- prison students, 70% (Angola, 64%) Parchman, 41.54%) (Term 183) / 63.37% / Non- prison students, 76.92% (Angola, 50%, Hardee, 63.18%) (Term 191)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>-1.18% for composite (non- prison and prison students)/-2.6% for non-prison students (Term 183)</li> <li>+ 0.63% for composite (non- prison and prison students)/ -9.52% for non- prison students Term 191)</li> </ul>	• Average score of sampled students: 67% on local test questions / Average score of sampled students: 65% on local test questions	• Ensure the continued validity of the BA program-specific questions in light of our new majors.
BACM Student Satisfaction Survey	• Average score of 4.6 on 5- point scale	• 3.51 (Term 191)	• Composite Score: 3.51 (NOLA Trad., 3.4; Online, 3; Southwest LA, 2.67; North Georgia, 4; Duluth, 4; Angola, 4; LCIW, 3.5)	• +/-0.0	• Average score of 4.5 on a 5- point scale / Average score of 3.5 on a 5- point scale /	• Review the program-specific questions in light of our new majors.

Student Learning Objective 3: <u>Historical and Theological Interpretation</u>: The graduate will interpret and communicate theological and historical truth accurately.

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/ New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
<ul> <li>CECM 2350 (lesson plan assessed with LCPR and oral presentation assessed with LCOPR) OR PMCM 2300 (sermon brief assessed with LCPR and oral presentation assessed with LCOPR)</li> </ul>	• 86% of sampled students scored an average of 2 or higher on the 5-point LCPR and 100% of sampled students scored an average of 3 or higher on the 6-point LCOPR	<ul> <li>CECM 2350 Written Project: Rubric composite, 3.22 (Writing, 3.0; Critical Thinking, 3.09; Biblical Interp., 3.58) (Term 183) / Rubric composite, 2.52 (Writing, 2.4; Critical Thinking, 2.45; Biblical Interp., 2.72) (Term 191)</li> <li>PMCM 2300: Rubric Composite, 3.29 (Writing, 3.20; Critical Thinking, 2.96; Biblical Interp., 3.72) (Term 183) / Rubric Composite, 3.38 (Writing, 3.2; Critical Thinking, 3.25; Biblical Interp., 3.68) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CECM 2350 Written Project Rubric composite, 2.6 (Writing, 2.6; Critical Thinking, 2.78; Bib. Interp., 2.43)</li> <li>PMCM 2300: Rubric Composite, 3.3 (Writing, 3.3; Critical Thinking, 3.08; Bib. Interp., 3.5)</li> <li><u>Note</u>: 100% of sampled students scored at least 2.0 on the LCPR</li> <li>CECM 2350: LCOPR Composite Score, 4.27</li> <li>PMCM 2300 LCOPR Composite Score, 4.37</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-0.62 (Term 183)</li> <li>+0.08 (Term 191)</li> <li>+0.01 (Term 183)</li> <li>-0.08 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>86% of sampled students will score an average of 2 or higher on the 5- point LCPR and 100% of sampled students will score an average of 3 or higher on the 6- point LCOPR / New rubric implemented. Will set benchmark at next BA jury.</li> </ul>	Continue using new rubric, and set baseline and benchmark after more data is collected.

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/ New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
			Note: 92% of sampled students scored at least 3.0 on the former LCOPR			
• THCM 2300 (final paper assessed with LCPR)	• 70% of sampled students will score an average of 2 or higher on the 5-point LCPR	<ul> <li>Rubric composite, 2.38 (Writing, 2.2; Critical Thinking, 2.437; Biblical Interp., 2.5) (Term 183) / Rubric composite, 2.46 (Writing, 2.36; Critical Thinking; 2.63; Biblical Interp., 2.4) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	Rubric composite, 2.29 (Writing, 2.21; Critical Thinking, 2.31; Bib. Interp., 2.34) <u>Note</u> : 80% of sampled students at baseline or higher	<ul> <li>-0.09 (Term 183)</li> <li>-0.17 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	• 70% of sampled students will score an average of 2 or higher on the 5- point LCPR/Will implement new rubric and set the benchmark once have more data	• Create and implement a new rubric to assess clearly the objectives.
• LSCM 4300 (senior thesis assessed with LCPR)	• 67% of sampled students scored an average of 2.5 or higher on the 5-point LCPR	• Rubric composite, 3.72 (Writing, 3.45; Critical Thinking, 3.81; Biblical Interp., 3.91) (Term 183) / Rubric composite, 2.93 (Writing, 2.92; Critical Thinking, 3.01; Biblical Interp., 2.86) (Term 191)	<ul> <li>Rubric composite, 3.6 (Writing, 3.4; Critical Thinking, 3.67, Bib. Interp., 3.83)</li> <li>Note: 100% of sampled students scored at least a 2.0 on the LCPR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>-0.12 (Term 183) / +0.67 (Term 191)</li> </ul>	• 67% of sampled students will score an average of 2.5 or higher on the 5- point LCPR / Will implement new rubric and set the benchmark once have more data	• Create and implement a new rubric to assess clearly the objectives.

Measures	Baseline	Results Last Faculty Jury (Terms 183 & 191)	Results Fall 2019 (Term 201)	Improvement	Current Benchmark/New Benchmark	Action Plan Steps to Achieve the New Benchmark
			Indirect Me	asures		
• Faculty- generated Local Test Questions given with the ETS Profile Proficiency Exam	• Average score of sampled students was 53% on local test questions	<ul> <li>43.61% / Non- prison students, 55.76% (Angola, 32%; Parchman, 43.08%) (Term 183) / 45.96% / Non- prison students, 63.08% (Angola, 35.71%, Hardee, 39.09%) (Term 191)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>50.9% / Non- prison students, 57.25% (NOLA Traditional, 66.25%: Online, 50%; Southwest LA, 70%; Duluth, 50%; North GA, 50%; Angola, 10%; LCIW, 60%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>+7.29% for composite (non- prison and prison students)/ +1.49% for non- prison students (Term 183)</li> <li>+4.94% for composite (non- prison and prison students)/ -5.83% for non- prison students Term 191)</li> </ul>	• Average score of sampled students of 53% or higher correct on local test questions/ Average score of sampled students of 55% or higher correct on local test questions	• Ensure the continued validity of the BA program-specific questions in light of our new majors.
• BACM Student Satisfaction Survey	• Average score of 4.67 on a 5-point scale	• 3.51 (Term 191)	<ul> <li>Composite Score: 3.70 (NOLA Trad., 3.89; Online, 3; Southwest LA, 3; North Georgia, 4; Duluth, 4; Angola, 4; LCIW, 4)</li> </ul>	• +0.19	• Average score of 4.67 on a 5- point scale/ Average score of 4 on a 5- point scale	• Review the program-specific questions in light of our new majors.